# **Annex 3: Indicative Performance Framework for Humanitarian Logistics Capability**

| End-of-Investment Outcomes | Intermediate Outcomes  | Indicators | Data Sources for Indicators | Frequency of Measurement of Indicators | Evaluative Questions |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. The Australian Government has suitable logistics capability to respond in a timely, effective and appropriate manner to humanitarian emergencies in the Indo-Pacific region | 1. Targeted communities have timely access to appropriate and relevant relief supplies | 1.Time taken to respond to a humanitarian emergency[[1]](#footnote-1)  | After Action Reviews, Survey, general reporting from commercial contractor and NGO partners | Annually | *What has been achieved through Australia’s humanitarian logistics capability and have there been any specific areas of value-add?**How effective is Australia’s humanitarian logistics capability, including whether emergency responses have aligned with the needs and priorities of partner governments and affected communities?**To what extent does Australia’s humanitarian logistics capability in the Indo-Pacific support strengthen preparedness for the occurrence of humanitarian crisis?**To what extent does Australia’s humanitarian logistics capability support timely responses to emergencies?**How effective are each of the partnerships under the investment and how could they be strengthened?**To what extent do the implementation arrangements for the investment provide good value for money?**To what extent does the investment promote sustainability?* *How can Australia’s humanitarian logistics capability be improved? What are the lessons learned that might be incorporated into Australia’s future investments in its humanitarian logistics capability?*  |
| 2. Nature of assistance (type and quantity of relief supplies) provided, disaggregated by emergency | After Action Reviews, Survey, general reporting from commercial contractor and NGO partners | After each response |
| 2. Warehouses are stocked with appropriate, prepositioned relief supplies | 3. Extent of effectiveness implementing the investment components: procurement, storage and handling, freight and transport logistics, deployment support, specialist logistics personnel and expertise  | Rapid, Mid-Term Review, Independent Evaluation, general reporting from commercial contractor and NGO partners | Every 2 years |
| 2. The Australian Government has suitable logistics capability to respond in a timely, effective and appropriate manner to consular crises globally | 3. The commercial provider is providing appropriate logistical support (for preparedness and responses) to the Australian Government for consular responses | 4. Number of people receiving consular crisis assistance | After Action Reviews | Annually | *To what extent has the logistical support provided by the commercial provider improved the Australian Government’s capability to respond in a timely, effective and appropriate manner to consular crises globally?* |
| 5. Time taken for consular assistance to be provided, disaggregated by consular crisis | After Action Reviews | Annually |
| 3. Vulnerable groups including women, girls, the elderly and people with disabilities have safer and more secure access to appropriate and relevant humanitarian relief supplies. | 4. Vulnerable groups have timely access to appropriate and relevant relief supplies | 6. Number of vulnerable women, men, girls and boys provided with humanitarian assistance, disaggregated by sex, age and disability | After Action Reviews, Survey, general reporting from commercial contractor and NGO partners | Annually | *Have gender equality and disability inclusiveness been addressed effectively in the investment? Were implementation arrangements effective?*  |
| 5. Women and people with disabilities are actively participating in all stages of crisis responses, including in decision-making | 7. Extent to which women and people with disabilities participate and are engaged in decision making on priorities and resource allocations in humanitarian crises | After Action Reviews, Rapid, Mid-Term Review, Independent Evaluation, Survey, general reporting from commercial contractor and NGO partners  | Annually |

1. Measurement of this indicator includes time taken to respond to a humanitarian emergency: (1) from the time a request for assistance is received; and (2) the delivery of supplies to the affected population. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)