

Australian Government
Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade

AID PROGRAM PERFORMANCE REPORT SUMMARY 2018-19

<Name of Country or Regional Program> September 2019



[Please ensure you read the <u>APPR Good Practice Note</u> for important information (including page limits) before completing the templates. The <u>Aid Programming Guide</u> (APG) provides more guidance and tools on the aid management cycle.]

[Please delete the instructional text in square brackets on completion of your APPR Summary.]

[Indicative ratings have been included in the tables in the document. Please update these with your actual ratings.]

[PROGRAM NAME] AID PROGRAM PERFORMANCE INFORMATION 2018-19

SUMMARY

This report summarises the performance of Australia's aid program in [insert country or regional program name here] from July 2018 to June 2019 against the [insert country or regional program name here] Aid Investment Plan (AIP) [insert AIP duration].

[Briefly summarise the key objectives of the program for the duration of the AIP, as well as the underlying program logic (what Australian aid does and how this contributes to achieving the key objectives). Does the program/strategy remain relevant to the current context? Highlight any changes to objectives and/or strategic focus made during 2018-19.]

[Compare Australia's ODA expenditure in the country/region with other donors and provide the ratio of Australia's ODA to the partner government's national budget where possible.]

EXPENDITURE

[In Table 1, list the bilateral expenditure figure for each aid objective as well as expenditure for regional and global programs and Other Government Departments (OGDs). Expenditure should be given to the end of the financial year.]

[Expenditure data for DFAT-administered funding should be generated from AidWorks. Regional and Global expenditure and expenditure by OGDs can be sourced from:

<u>http://collaboration.titan.satin.lo/AidStatistics/Pages/DataFiles.aspx</u> . Questions on past years can be directed to the ODA Statistics and Reporting section (<u>aidstatsinguiries@dfat.gov.au</u>).

[Significant changes in budget from the previous financial year should be noted.]

Table 1 Total ODA Expenditure in FY 2018-19

Objective	A\$ million	% of total ODA
Objective 1		
Objective 2		
Objective 3		



Sub-Total Bilateral

Regional and Global

Other Government Departments

Total ODA Expenditure

PERFORMANCE TOWARDS AIP OBJECTIVES

[The reporting period should cover the 2018-19 financial year.]

[Ratings in Table 2 should reflect an evidence-based judgement about progress towards objectives and outcomes not simply an aggregated summary of AQC information. It is important that programs use the full range of rating options (green, amber and red) in assessing progress.]

Table 2 Rating of the Program's Progress towards Australia's Aid Objectives

Objective	Previous Rating	Current Rating
Objective 1	Green	Amber
Objective 2	Amber	Green
Objective 3	Red	Red

Note:

Green. Progress is as expected at this stage of implementation and it is likely that the objective will be achieved. Standard program management practices are sufficient.

Amber. Progress is somewhat less than expected at this stage of implementation and restorative action will be necessary if the objective is to be achieved. Close performance monitoring is recommended.

Red. Progress is significantly less than expected at this stage of implementation and the objective is not likely to be met given available resources and priorities. Recasting the objective may be required.

OBJECTIVE 1 [INSERT DESCRIPTION OF OBJECTIVE]



OBJECTIVE 2, 3 ETC. [INSERT DESCRIPTION OF OBJECTIVE/S]



[Provide a narrative on progress towards each objective of no more than two paragraphs per objective.]



[At the beginning of each objective narrative, identify the Sustainable Development Goal/s with which the objective most closely aligns. A list of the SDGs is included at Appendix 1 of this template and Goals can be copied and pasted from the list.]

[Include a summary sentence under each objective indicating why the rating (green, amber, red) was given. E.g. 'The objective was rated amber because program progress was less than anticipated for the following reasons...' Clearly set out where expectations were met and where progress was not as advanced as expected in 2018-19.]

[Include both key achievements and underperformance in the reporting period. The narrative should be balanced and not comprise just a listing of achievements or outputs. Results should be supported by evidence and compared to expected results to judge their significance. In reaching a judgement about performance, draw on progress against the current Performance Assessment Framework milestones and indicators (where available), evaluation findings and performance benchmarks.]

MUTUAL OBLIGATIONS

[Discuss progress against the mutual obligations identified in the AIP or as updated in last year's APPR. Mutual obligations should focus on partner country budgetary, legislative and reform commitments that are critical to the achievement of AIP objectives. Consider including policy dialogue efforts in progressing these obligations.]

[For regional programs working with several government partners, focus on the sectors and countries of most strategic importance as well as key regional organisations.]

PROGRAM QUALITY

[Briefly discuss overall AQC ratings, trends in ratings and identify any significant reviews or evaluations. Discuss how the program is rated for gender equality and empowerment of women and girls and what is being done to improve this rating.]

MANAGEMENT ACTIONS

[Identify management actions to address challenges to achieving the program objectives or risks associated with the program or with partners for the forthcoming 12 months.]

[Management actions should be linked clearly to the progress against objectives ratings and narrative, particularly any objectives rated amber or red, and the identified risks to future progress. Management actions should be specific, time-bound and with clear responsibilities assigned for implementation.]





ANNEX A - PROGRESS IN ADDRESSING MANAGEMENT RESPONSES

[Describe progress made against management responses in 2017-18 report.]

Management responses identified in 2017-18 APPR	Rating	Progress made in 2018-19
	Achieved	
	Achieved	
	Achieved	
	Partly achieved	
	Not achieved	

Note:

Achieved. Significant progress has been made in addressing the issue

Partly achieved. Some progress has been made in addressing the issue, but the issue has not been resolved

Not achieved. Progress in addressing the issue has been significantly below expectations

ANNEX B – PERFORMANCE BENCHMARKS

1 PROGRESS TOWARDS PERFORMANCE BENCHMARKS IN 2018-19

[Discussion on progress against specific performance benchmarks in 2018-19 should also be included as part of the text assessing progress against individual program objectives in the main body of the APPR.]

Aid objective	Performance Benchmark	Rating	Progress in 2018-19
AIP Strategic Objective	Performance Benchmark(s)	Achieved	Comment briefly on the extent of progress.
AIP Strategic Objective	Performance Benchmark(s)	Partly achieved	If the benchmark was not achieved or only partly achieved, include summary information on actual performance.
2019-20 Performance Framework or AIP Strategic Objective	Performance Benchmark(s)	Not Achieved	Comment briefly on the extent of progress and why the benchmark was not achieved.

Note:

Achieved. Significant progress has been made and the performance benchmark was achieved

Partly achieved. Some progress has been made towards achieving the performance benchmark, but progress was less than anticipated.

Not achieved. Progress towards the performance benchmark has been significantly below expectations

2 PERFORMANCE BENCHMARKS FOR 2019-20

Aid objective	Performance Benchmark	2018-19	2019-20
2019-20 Performance Framework or AIP Strategic Objective	Performance Benchmark (s)	(insert target)	(insert target)

2019-20 Performance	Performance Benchmark (s)	(insert target)	(insert target)
Framework or AIP Strategic Objective			

[Discuss the relevance of individual benchmarks with specialist areas such as MPB and sector/thematic groups during APPR drafting as well as during APPR peer reviews. Consider capturing indicators on gender and disability inclusive development.]

ANNEX C - EVALUATION PLANNING

1 LIST OF EVALUATIONS COMPLETED IN THE REPORTING PERIOD

Investment number and name (if applicable)	Name of evaluation	Date completed	Date Evaluation report Uploaded into AidWorks	Date Management response uploaded into AidWorks	Published on website
(e.g. INK640 The Knowledge Sector Initiative)	(Drawn from final evaluation report title page)	(Date final report submitted to DFAT)	(Should be no more than 3 months following date completed.)	(Generally management responses should be completed within 28 calendar days of completion.)	(Provide actual date. It should be no more than 3 months following date completed. If publication is yet to occur an estimate should be provided and clearly identified as such. e.g. June -2018 est.)

2 LIST OF PROGRAM PRIORITISED EVALUATIONS PLANNED FOR THE NEXT 12 MONTHS

Evaluation title	Investment number and name (if applicable)	Date – planned commencement (month/year)	Date – planned completion (month/year)	Purpose of evaluation	Evaluation type
(e.g Knowledge Sector Initiative progress evaluation)	(e.g. INK640 The Knowledge Sector Initiative) (Note: as per DFAT's aid evaluation policy, evaluations need not necessarily be at the investment level)	(i.e. when will work on terms of reference commence)	(i.e. when will the final evaluation report be submitted)	e.g. - inform new design - demonstrate results - verify performance - improve existing investment - examine value for money - inform new/revised AIP - examine a sector or theme - analyse pilot project	i.e. - DFAT led (includes consultants engaged by DFAT) - Partner led - Joint (If a joint or partner led evaluation please include partner details).



ANNEX D - AID QUALITY CHECK RATINGS

1 AQC RATINGS

[AQC investment performance over the previous 12 months and where available last year's AQC ratings.]



2 HAQC RATINGS [INCLUDE IF RELEVANT]

[Humanitarian response AQCs assess performance over the previous 12 months (ratings are not compared to previous years).]



3 FAQC RATINGS [INCLUDE IF RELEVANT]

[Final AQCs assess performance over the lifetime of the investment (ratings are not compared to previous years).]





Definitions of rating scale:

Satisfactory (4, 5 and 6)

6 = Very good; satisfies criteria in all areas. 5 = Good; satisfies criteria in almost all areas.

4 = Adequate; on balance, satisfies criteria; does not fail in any major area.

Less than satisfactory (1, 2 and 3)

3 = Less than adequate; on balance does not satisfy criteria and/or fails in at least one major area.

2 = Poor; does not satisfy criteria in major areas. 1 = Very poor; does not satisfy criteria in many major area.



ANNEX E – PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK

[Insert Performance Assessment Framework if available.]

APPENDIX 1: 2030 AGENDA FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT INFOGRAPHICS

1 [№] ₱verty ₱¥₱₱₩	End poverty in all its forms everywhere	10 REDUCED INEQUALITIES	Reduce inequality within and among countries
2 ZERO HUNGER	End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture	11 SUSTAINABLE CITIES	Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable
3 GOODHEALTH AND WELL-BEING 	Ensure healthy lives and promote well- being at all ages	12 RESPONSIBLE CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION	Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns
4 EDUCATION	Ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all	13 action	Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts
5 EQUALITY	Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls	14 LIFE BELOW WATER	Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development
6 CLEAN WATER AND SANITATION	Ensure availability and sustainability management of water and sanitation for all	15 LIFE ON LAND	Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems
7 AFORDABLE AND CLEAN ENERGY	Ensure access to affordable, reliable sustainable and modern energy for all	16 PFACE, JUSTICE AND STRONG INSTITUTIONS	Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development and provide access to justice for all
8 DECENT WORK AND ECONOMIC GROWTH	Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment	17 PARTNERSHIPS FOR THE GOALS	Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalise the global partnership for sustainable development
9 INDUSTRIE. INNOVATE EN INFRASTRUCTUUR	Build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive and sustainable Industrialisation and foster innovation		

[In order to ascertain which of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs or 'Global Goals') your program objectives are addressing, please read through the list of targets against each goal, available on the DFAT website under **Development Goals.**]



[Note there are cross-cutting issues such as gender equality and disability which are included as targets across several goals. Consistent with Australia's 'Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment Strategy' the majority of programs should address Goal 5.]